

CORRECTION

Open Access



# Correction to: Online tools supporting the conduct and reporting of systematic reviews and systematic maps: a case study on CADIMA and review of existing tools

Christian Kohl<sup>1\*</sup>, Emma J. McIntosh<sup>2†</sup>, Stefan Unger<sup>1†</sup>, Neal R. Haddaway<sup>3</sup>, Steffen Kecke<sup>1</sup>, Joachim Schiemann<sup>1</sup> and Ralf Wilhelm<sup>1</sup>

## Correction to: *Environ Evid* (2018) 7:8

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-018-0115-5>

The authors wish to update information about the software DistillerSR in Tables 1 and 3 which we were alerted to following the publication of original article [1]. In addition to the analysis provided, DistillerSR does support protocol development (Pi) e.g. assistance to determine appropriate PICO elements, and critical appraisal (Cr) as 'stages of the SR process supported'. This information was not originally included in the assessment due to a lack of clarity on the service providers' website. No further updates to this manuscript will be possible for this or other software, in line with the general disclaimer below.

### General disclaimer:

The review of systematic review support software represents an independent assessment by EJ McIntosh based on publicly available information on each software package. This assessment represents an attempt to best capture information located via service providers' websites, in academic publications, user manuals and via free trials or software demonstrations. Occasionally, relevant information was not publicly available or may have been difficult to access or interpret. This assessment does not represent the views or opinions of any of the software developers or service providers. The review of software was completed in mid-2017, readers should visit the

software providers' websites (linked in Table 1) to check for updates, for further information and to seek clarification where necessary.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Julius Kühn-Institut (JKI), Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Erwin-Baur-Strasse 27, 06484 Quedlinburg, Germany. <sup>2</sup> School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QY, UK. <sup>3</sup> Mistra EviEM, Stockholm Environment Institute, 10451 Stockholm, Sweden.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-018-0115-5>.

### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 26 February 2018 Accepted: 15 March 2018

Published online: 27 March 2018

### Reference

1. Kohl C, McIntosh EJ, Unger S, Haddaway NR, Kecke S, Schiemann J, Wilhelm R. Online tools supporting the conduct and reporting of systematic reviews and systematic maps: a case study on CADIMA and review of existing tools. *Environ Evid*. 2018;7:8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-018-0115-5>.

\*Correspondence: christian.kohl@julius-kuehn.de

†Christian Kohl, Emma J. McIntosh and Stefan Unger contributed equally to this work

<sup>1</sup> Julius Kühn-Institut (JKI), Federal Research Centre for Cultivated Plants, Erwin-Baur-Strasse 27, 06484 Quedlinburg, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article